

KIAS-P02055
hep-th/0209009

M-theory on Less Supersymmetric PP-Waves

Kimyeong M. Lee*,

*School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study
207-43, Cheongryangri-Dong, Dongdaemun-Gu, Seoul 130-012, Korea*

There are fractionally supersymmetric pp-waves in 11 dimensional supergravity. We study the corresponding supersymmetric Yang-Mills matrix dynamics for M-theory and find its superalgebra and vacuum equations. We show that the ground state energy of the Hamiltonian with nontrivial dynamical supersymmetry can be zero, positive or negative depending on parameters.

*E-mail: klee@kias.re.kr

The 32 supersymmetric deformed matrix mechanics has been discovered by Berenstein, Maldacena, and Nastase [1]. This deformed matrix theory can be regarded either as dynamics of D0 particles or as regularized dynamics of membranes of M-theory on the maximally supersymmetric pp-wave background [1, 2]. This fully supersymmetric pp-wave background [3] was found to be the Penrose limit of $AdS_7 \times S^4$ or $AsS_4 \times S^7$ [4]. It would be interesting to find out something new about M-theory by this approach. Some initial steps toward understanding quantum aspects of this deformed theory has been taken in Ref. [5].

The less supersymmetric pp-wave backgrounds in M-theory have been found and classified in Refs. [6, 7]. The pp-wave background with 26 supersymmetry has been found in Ref. [8]. Including the 16 kinematical supersymmetries, the total supersymmetries can be 16, 18, 20, 22, 26, 32 in the 11 dimensional supergravity. Some of lesser supersymmetric pp-waves in 11 dimensional supergravity can be interpreted as a Penrose limit of intersecting branes maybe with additional antisymmetric tensor field. However there has been little study of the corresponding Yang-Mills matrix quantum mechanics.

In this note we explore the less supersymmetric deformed Yang-Mills quantum mechanics. After summerizing the old results, we explore the superalgebra, the vacuum BPS equations for ‘fuzzy spheres’, and the vacuum energy in the symmetric phase. We find that the Hamiltonian does not need to be bounded from zero and the vacuum energy of the symmetric phase of the free abelian theory can be zero, positive or negative depending on parameters. We conclude with some comments.

Usual supersymmetric Yang-Mills quantum mechanics with 16 dynamical supersymmetries [9] have played a crucial role in uncovering the 11-dimensional nature of M-theory [10]. The only known proposal for quantum formulation of M-theory [11] is simply a large N limit of $U(N)$ SYQM with 16 supersymmetries, which can be thought of as large N dynamics of D0 branes [12] or alternatively as a regularized dynamics of supermembranes [13]. The study of the maximally or less supersymmetric mass deformed matrix theory may leads some insight on M-theory.

The underlying pp-wave in 11-dimensional supergravity is

$$ds^2 = -2dx^+dx^- - \sum_{i,j=1}^9 A_{ij}x^i x^j (dx^+)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^9 dx^i dx^i, \quad (1)$$

$$F = dx^+ \wedge \tilde{W}, \quad (2)$$

where $x^\pm = (x^0 \pm x^{10})/\sqrt{2}$ and $\tilde{W} = W_{ijk}dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k/6$. This pp-wave is the solution of the 11-dimensional supergravity [14] if the symmetric matrix A_{ij} and the

antisymmetric tensor W_{ijk} satisfy

$$\sum_i A_{ii} = -\frac{1}{12} \sum_{ijk} W_{ijk}^2. \quad (3)$$

The matrix theory describes the dynamics of the bosonic degrees of freedom X_i with $i = 1, \dots, 9$ and the fermionic degrees of freedom, 16-component Majorana spinor field, λ_α . Both of them belong to the adjoint representation of $U(N)$ gauge group. With real and symmetric 9-dimensional gamma matrices γ_i and $W = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^9 W_{ijk} \gamma_{ijk}/$, the Lagrangian for the gauged matrix theory [6] is

$$\begin{aligned} L = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \sum_i (D_0 X_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} [X_i, X_j]^2 + i \lambda^T D_0 \lambda - \lambda^T \gamma_i [X_i, \lambda] \right. \\ \left. - A_{ij} X_i X_j + \frac{2i}{3} W_{ijk} X_i X_j X_k - \frac{i}{4} \lambda^T W \lambda \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

All quantities are real, Tr is for the group index and the transpose of λ^T is for the spinor index only. When the gauge group is $U(1)$, the above theory becomes a free theory. The symmetric matrix A_{ij} determines bosonic mass and W determines fermionic mass at the symmetric phase $X_i = 0$. The Lagrangian has 16 kinematical supersymmetries under which

$$\delta A_0 = 0, \quad \delta X_i = 0, \quad \delta \lambda = e^{\frac{Wt}{4}} \eta_0 \quad (5)$$

with time independent real spinors η_0 of 16 components.

The theory can have a dynamical supersymmetry if for some constant spinor ϵ_0 the theory is invariant under the transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_0 &= i \lambda^T \epsilon, \\ \delta X_j &= i \lambda^T \gamma_j \epsilon, \\ \delta \lambda &= \left(D_0 X_j \gamma_j - \frac{i}{2} [X_j, X_k] \gamma_{jk} + \frac{\mu}{12} X_j (\gamma_i W + 3 W \gamma_j) \right) \epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with

$$\epsilon = e^{-\frac{Wt}{12}} \epsilon_0. \quad (7)$$

The condition for the Lagrangian to be invariant under the dynamical supersymmetry is

$$(144 A_{jk} \gamma_j - (9 W^2 \gamma_i + 6 W \gamma_i W + \gamma_i W^2)) \epsilon = 0. \quad (8)$$

This condition is identical to that for the dynamical supersymmetry in the pp-waves [6, 7]. (For the discussion of deformed maximal supersymmetric matrix theories

with the matter fields, see Ref. [15]. Another study on a deformed supersymmetric matrix theory was done in Ref. [16]. However this work overlap with our work little.)

To proceed, we first use the SO(9) spatial rotation to diagonalize the symmetric matrix A , so that

$$A_{ij} = \mu_i^2 \delta_{ij} . \quad (9)$$

Then we choose an ‘ansatz’ so that antisymmetric W is skew-diagonal. The 8 dimensional Cartan subalgebra of SO(16) are made of mutually commuting skew-diagonal matrices. Any antisymmetric real 16 dimensional matrix is a linear combination of γ_{ij} and γ_{ijk} . In terms of gamma matrices, one can choose two possible nonequivalent choices of the SO(16) Cartan subalgebra,

$$(I) \quad \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{34}, \gamma_{56}, \gamma_{78}, \gamma_{129}, \gamma_{349}, \gamma_{569}, \gamma_{789}, \quad (10)$$

$$(II) \quad \gamma_{123}, \gamma_{154}, \gamma_{167}, \gamma_{246}, \gamma_{257}, \gamma_{374}, \gamma_{356}, \gamma_{89} . \quad (11)$$

The first seven elements of the second class is related to octonions. (With a suitable naming, they satisfy the algebra $\{e_i, e_j\} = -2\delta_{ij} + 2\gamma_{89}c_{ijk}^2 e_k$ with the octonionic structure constants c_{ijk} .) The skew-diagonal W can take two inequivalent canonical forms [6, 7]:

$$W_I = m_1 \gamma_{129} + m_2 \gamma_{349} + m_3 \gamma_{569} + m_4 \gamma_{789} , \quad (12)$$

$$W_{II} = n_1 \gamma_{123} + n_2 \gamma_{154} + n_3 \gamma_{167} + n_4 \gamma_{246} + n_5 \gamma_{257} + n_6 \gamma_{374} + n_7 \gamma_{356} . \quad (13)$$

Two family are not exclusive of each other. When at least one of m_i of the four parameter family vanishes, the resulting W_I would belong to W_{II} family. In general there are 45 parameters for A_{ij} and 84 parameters for W . After taking out 36 parameters for SO(9) rotation, the total nontrivial parameters are 93. Thus, we are here focusing on rather limited ansatz of 9+4 or 9+7 parameters.

To analyze the condition (8), we introduce 9 hermitian matrices

$$U_j = -i(W + 3\gamma_j W \gamma_j) , \quad (14)$$

which commute with W and are also skew-diagonal. Then the supersymmetric conditions (8) become

$$(144\mu_j^2 - U_j^2)\epsilon = 0 \quad (15)$$

for all j . As U_j^2 are diagonal and the above condition becomes easier to understand. Nontrivial supersymmetries can arise by non-vanishing spinors satisfying the above conditions. We call such nontrivial spinors to be ‘susy spinors’. The number of dynamical supersymmetries would be the number of linearly independent susy spinors.

Rather than using the skew-diagonal basis, we choose complex spinor basis for each class of W . For W_I we choose 16 dimensional spinors to be (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) with $s_a = \pm 1$ such that they are eigenvalues of $-i\gamma_{129}$, $-i\gamma_{349}$, $-i\gamma_{569}$, and $-i\gamma_{789}$, respectively. On this base W_I is diagonal and its eigenvalues are

$$4i\nu_s = i(m_1s_1 + m_2s_2 + m_3s_3 + m_4s_4). \quad (16)$$

One can see from the Lagrangian that the parameter ν_s for each s is the fermion mass for the corresponding spinor field at the symmetric vacuum $X_j = 0$. The projection operator to the eigenspinor is

$$P_s = \frac{1}{16}(1 - is_1\gamma_{129})(1 - is_2\gamma_{349})(1 - is_3\gamma_{569})(1 - is_4\gamma_{789}). \quad (17)$$

Clearly this projection operator commutes with W_I . For W_I , the diagonal U_j are

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= U_2 = 2(2m_1s_1 - m_2s_2 - m_3s_3 - m_4s_4), \\ U_3 &= U_4 = 2(-m_1s_1 + 2m_2s_2 - m_3s_3 - m_4s_4), \\ U_5 &= U_6 = 2(-m_1s_1 - m_2s_2 + 2m_3s_3 - m_4s_4), \\ U_7 &= U_8 = 2(-m_1s_1 - m_2s_2 - m_3s_3 + 2m_4s_4), \\ U_9 &= 4(m_1s_1 + m_2s_2 + m_3s_3 + m_4s_4). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

For W_{II} , we choose 16 dimensional spinors to be (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) with $s_a = \pm 1$ such that they are eigenvalues of $-i\gamma_{123}$, $-i\gamma_{154}$, $-i\gamma_{167}$, and $-i\gamma_{246}$, respectively. On this basis the eigenvalues of W_{II} is given as

$$4i\nu_s = i(n_1s_1 + n_2s_2 + n_3s_3 + n_4s_4 + n_5s_2s_3s_4 + n_6s_1s_3s_4 + n_7s_1s_2s_4), \quad (19)$$

Again ν_s are fermion mass for the s spinor field in the symmetric phase $X_j = 0$. The projection operator to each spinor is

$$P_s = \frac{1}{16}(1 - is_1\gamma_{123})(1 - is_2\gamma_{154})(1 - is_3\gamma_{167})(1 - is_4\gamma_{256}). \quad (20)$$

This projection operator commutes with W_{II} . For the seven parameter family W_{II} , the diagonal matrices U_j are

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= 4(n_1s_1 + n_2s_2 + n_3s_4) - 2(n_4s_4 + n_5s_5 + n_6s_6 + n_7s_7), \\ U_2 &= 4(n_1s_1 + n_4s_4 + n_5s_5) - 2(n_2s_2 + n_3s_3 + n_6s_6 + n_7s_7), \\ U_3 &= 4(n_1s_1 + n_6s_6 + n_7s_7) - 2(n_2s_2 + n_3s_3 + n_4s_4 + n_5s_5), \\ U_4 &= 4(n_2s_2 + n_4s_4 + n_6s_6) - 2(n_1s_1 + n_3s_3 + n_5s_5 + n_7s_7), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U_5 &= 4(n_2s_2 + n_5s_5 + n_7s_7) - 2(n_1s_1 + n_3s_3 + n_4s_4 + n_6s_6), \\
U_6 &= 4(n_3s_3 + n_4s_4 + n_7s_7) - 2(n_1s_1 + n_2s_2 + n_5s_5 + n_6s_6), \\
U_7 &= 4(n_3s_3 + n_5s_5 + n_6s_6) - 2(n_1s_1 + n_2s_2 + n_4s_4 + n_7s_7), \\
U_8 &= U_9 = -2(n_1s_1 + n_2s_2 + n_3s_4 + n_4s_4 + n_5s_5 + n_6s_6 + n_7s_7). \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

For the dynamical supersymmetry to exist, the susy conditions (15) should be true for at least one spinor (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) . Once this is true, it is also true for $-(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)$ and so the dynamical supersymmetry increases by two. The number of such dynamical supersymmetry would be $2K$. The total supersymmetries including the kinetic ones is $16 + 2K$. When $K \geq 1$, we can choose one of such supersymmetric spinor to be $\pm(1, 1, 1, 1)$ without loss of generality. The susy condition (15) fixes the mass parameters μ_i up to sign. We choose the sign of μ_i so that

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{12}U_i(s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = s_4 = 1). \quad (22)$$

They are mass parameters for the bosonic X_i variables in the symmetric phase. For generic m_i parameters there would be no more spinors satisfying the conditions (15), and so the total number of supersymmetries would be $18 = 16 + 2$. The condition (3) for the pp-wave becomes

$$\sum_i \mu_i^2 - \sum_{|s|} \nu_s^2 = 0. \quad (23)$$

where $|s|$ denotes the spinor up to the overall sign. This condition can easily be shown to hold for either four or seven parameter families.

When these parameters are more constrained, there would be more susy spinors satisfying (15) and so there would be more supersymmetries. For a given number of supersymmetry $16 + 2K$, the projection operators to susy spinors, P , would be the sum of that for each susy spinor. As the susy spinors come in pair, P is invariant under the overall sign change of s_i , and so there is no odd products of s_i . Thus, the P is real and symmetric and so generically

$$P = \frac{2K}{16}(1 + a_i\gamma_i + b_{ijkl}\gamma_{ijkl}) \quad (24)$$

Note that $2K = \text{tr } P_K$ with tr being the trace over spinor index. Also, P commutes with W and U_j 's. The supersymmetric condition (15) becomes

$$U_j P = \pm 12\mu_j P \quad (25)$$

for all j . Note that in our convention, the image of P always contains $s = \pm(1, 1, 1, 1)$. The possible values of K for the four parameter family is $K = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 8$ and those for the seven parameter family is $K = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8$ [6, 7, 8].

As the fermion mass ν_s is proportional to the eigenvalue of W , the dynamical supersymmetry for the direction along the susy spinor $s = (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)$ would be time-independent if ν_s vanishes. Many examples of four or seven parameter families of partially supersymmetric pp-waves have been studied in Refs. [6, 7].

For the four parameter family of W_I , the generic supersymmetry is 18. The case where $W = m_1\gamma_{129} + m_2\gamma_{349} + m_3\gamma_{569}$ and μ_i in (22) with $m_4 = 0$ has 20 supersymmetries with $P = (1 - \gamma_{1234} - \gamma_{1256} - \gamma_{3456})/4$. If $m_3 = 0$, there are 24 supersymmetries with $P = (1 - \gamma_{1234})/2$.

Among seven parameter family, one interesting case [8] is such that

$$W = \mu (\gamma_{123} + \gamma_{154} + \gamma_{167} - 3\gamma_{246} + \gamma_{257} + \gamma_{374} + \gamma_{356}) \quad (26)$$

with the mass parameters

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_7 = \mu, \quad \mu_8 = \mu_9 = \frac{\mu}{2}. \quad (27)$$

In this case there are 10 susy spinors,

$$\pm (1, 1, 1, 1), \pm(-1, -1, -1, 1), \pm(-1, 1, 1, 1), \pm(1, -1, 1, 1), \pm(1, 1, -1, 1) \quad (28)$$

and so the total supersymmetries is 26. This model is supposed to be unique modulo the usual change of coordinates. The projection operator is the sum of that for each susy spinors and so

$$P = \frac{5 - \gamma_{1234567}W}{8}. \quad (29)$$

Let us quantize the theory with the commutation relations

$$[X_i^{ab}, \pi_j^{cd}] = i\delta_{ij}\delta^{ac}\delta^{bd}, \quad \{\lambda_\alpha^{ab}, \lambda_\beta^{cd}\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta^{ac}\delta^{bd} \quad (30)$$

with $\pi_j = \dot{X}_j$. The supercharge is

$$Q_\alpha = \left(\lambda^T \left(\pi_j \gamma_j - \frac{i}{2} [X_j, X_k] \gamma_{jk} + \frac{1}{12} X_j (\gamma_j W + 3W \gamma_j) \right) P \right)_\alpha. \quad (31)$$

The infinitesimal transformation (6) is induced by

$$\delta X_i = -[Q^T \epsilon, X_i], \quad \delta \lambda = -[Q^T \epsilon, \lambda], \quad (32)$$

where $\epsilon = e^{-\frac{Wt}{12}} \epsilon_0$ with arbitrary 16 component constant spinor ϵ_0 . The projection operator P restricts ϵ_0 to susy spinors.

With the identity

$$\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta = \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{2} + \frac{(\gamma_{ij})_{\alpha\beta}}{32} \lambda^T \gamma_{ij} \lambda + \frac{(\gamma_{ijk})_{\alpha\beta}}{96} \lambda^T \gamma_{ijk} \lambda, \quad (33)$$

the anticommutation relation between supercharges is

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = 2HP_{\alpha\beta} + L_{ij}(R_{ij})_{\alpha\beta} + i(P\gamma_i P)_{\alpha\beta} \text{Tr}[X_i \mathcal{G}], \quad (34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij} &= -\frac{i}{24}P(\gamma_{ij}U_j - U_j\gamma_{ji})P \\ &= -\frac{i}{24}P(U_i\gamma_{ij} - \gamma_{ji}U_i)P, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and $L_{ij} = \text{Tr}(X_i\pi_j - X_j\pi_i - \frac{i}{4}\lambda^T\gamma_{ij}\lambda)$ with $\mathcal{G} = i[X_i, \pi_i] + \lambda^T\lambda$. As the Gauss law constraint is $\mathcal{G} = 0$, the last term does not affect to physical states. As $U_j P_N = \pm \frac{\mu_j}{12}P_N$, R_{ij} does not vanish only if $\mu_i^2 = \mu_j^2$. Thus the rotation $L_{ij}(R_{ij})_{\alpha\beta}$ leaves the bosonic mass parameter μ_i invariant. As the Lagrangian is invariant under supersymmetry, there is a trivial identity

$$i\gamma_{ijk}\left(P(\gamma_{ijk}U_i + U_i\gamma_{ijk})P\right)_{\alpha\beta} = -8WP_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (36)$$

which can be used to show that

$$[\gamma_{jk}, W](R_{ij})_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \quad (37)$$

This implies that the fermion mass parameter ν_s is also invariant under $L_{ij}(R_{ij})_{\alpha\beta}$, and also that

$$[L_{ij}R_{ij}, H] = 0, \quad (38)$$

$$[L_{ij}R_{ij}, Q_\alpha^T] = -\frac{i}{2}(Q^T P \gamma_{ij} P)_\alpha. \quad (39)$$

Thus, $L_{ij}(R_{ij})_{\alpha\beta}$ are conserved angular momentum for all $\alpha\beta$. They also leave the particle mass invariant, as expected. Also the part of the superalgebra is

$$[H, Q_\alpha] = -\frac{i}{12}(Q^T W)_\alpha, \quad (40)$$

and so the time dependent charge $Q(t)^T = Q^T e^{-\frac{Wt}{12}}$ is conserved or $dQ(t)^T/dt = i[H, Q^T] + \partial Q^T/\partial t = 0$.

When $W = m_1\gamma_{129} + m_2\gamma_{349}$ with $P = (1 - \gamma_{1234})/2$, the angular momentum part of the superalgebra becomes

$$\begin{aligned} L_{ij}R_{ij} &= \left(\frac{(2m_1 - m_2)}{3}L_{12} + \frac{(2m_2 - m_2)}{3}L_{34}\right)P\gamma_9P \\ &+ \frac{(m_1 + m_2)}{6} \sum_{i,j=5,6,7,8} L_{ij}P\gamma_{ij}\gamma_{129}P. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

In this case $[W, \gamma_{ij}(R_{ij})_{\alpha\beta}] = 0$ as it should be.

By taking the trace of Eq. (34) and introducing

$$Z = -\text{tr } L_{ij} R_{ij}, \quad (42)$$

one can have a bound on Hamiltonian,

$$H \geq \frac{1}{4K} Z. \quad (43)$$

As we will see, the above bound would not be saturated for some ground state.

When the momentum π_j and the fermion field are put to zero, the angular momentum vanishes and so the Hamiltonian should be positive, or the potential should be put into the sum of the positive terms. As there are several Myers terms [19], it is not obvious how it is done. After inspecting the potential part of the supercharge (31), one can see that it is natural to consider

$$V = \left(-\frac{i}{2} [X_j, X_k] \gamma_{j k} + \frac{1}{12} X_j (\gamma_j W + 3W \gamma_j) \right) P, \quad (44)$$

which can be expanded as a linear combination of products of gamma matrices. From the superalgebra (34), we can read that the potential energy should be

$$\begin{aligned} U &\equiv \frac{\mu_j^2}{2} X_j^2 - \frac{i}{3} W_{ijk} X_i X_j X_k - \frac{1}{4} [X_i, X_j]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2N} \text{tr } \text{Tr } V^T V. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The condition for the ground state would be $V = 0$. Each coefficient of the products of gamma matrices in V should vanish, which become the equations for the classical vacuum configurations. For the maximally symmetric case the vacuum configurations are the collection of fuzzy spheres, or giant gravitons [1, 17]. (For the discussion of more general BPS configurations in the maximal case, see Ref. [18].)

As an example let us consider again the case $W = m_1 \gamma_{129} + m_2 \gamma_{349}$ with $P = (1 - \gamma_{1234})/2$. The above express for the potential becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 2U &= (F_{12} + F_{34} + \frac{\mu}{3} X_9 (m_1 + m_2))^2 + (F_{13} + F_{42})^2 + (F_{14} + F_{12})^2, \\ &\quad + (F_{19} + \frac{\mu}{6} X_2 (-2m_1 + m_2))^2 + (F_{29} + \frac{\mu}{6} X_1 (2m_1 - m_2))^2, \\ &\quad + (F_{39} + \frac{\mu}{6} X_4 (-2m_2 + m_1))^2 + (F_{49} + \frac{\mu}{6} X_3 (2m_2 - m_1))^2 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $F_{ij} = -i[X_i, X_j]$ and the dots denote the sum of simple squares. The bosonic vacuum configuration should satisfy

$$F_{12} + F_{34} + \frac{1}{3} X_9 (m_1 + m_2) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{13} + F_{42} &= 0, & F_{14} + F_{12} &= 0, \\
F_{19} + \frac{1}{6}X_2(-2m_1 + m_2) &= 0, \\
F_{29} + \frac{1}{6}X_1(2m_1 - m_2) &= 0, \\
F_{39} + \frac{1}{6}X_4(-2m_2 + m_1) &= 0, \\
F_{49} + \frac{1}{6}X_3(2m_2 - m_1) &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

and the rest of X_j vanish. At the moment, it is not clear what is the general solution of the above equations. In the case with $m_1 = m_2 = m > 0$, one can have a solution

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1 = X_3 &= -\frac{m}{3\sqrt{2}}J_1, \\
X_2 = X_4 &= -\frac{m}{3\sqrt{2}}J_2, \\
X_9 &= -\frac{m}{6}J_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

This is a fuzzy sphere in three dimension which is rotated to each of 13 and 24 planes by 45 degrees.

The simplest quantum property is the vacuum energy at the symmetric phase $X_i = 0$. This vacuum is unique in the abelian theory which is free. So we just focus on the abelian model with $K \geq 1$. The bosonic vacuum energy is

$$E_b = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j |\mu_j|, \tag{49}$$

where the mass parameters are given in Eq. (22). With our spinor basis, there are 8 fermion degrees of freedom, one for each $\pm(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)$ with mass $|\nu_s|$ of Eq. (16) or (19). The fermionic vacuum energy is then

$$E_f = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{|s|} |\nu_s|. \tag{50}$$

The question is whether the sum of the vacuum energy vanishes. When one of the mass parameter, say m_1 , is very large compared with other mass parameters $m_i, i \neq 1$, one can see that the bosonic and fermion contributions are just given by the leading mass and their sum vanishes for either four or seven parameter families. Thus in this limit the supersymmetry is preserved. When the parameters are compatible, the story becomes more complicated.

Let us again consider the 24 supersymmetric case with $W = m_1\gamma_{129} + m_2\gamma_{349}$. The total vacuum energy is then

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{6} (|2m_1 - m_2| + |2m_2 - m_1| - 3|m_1 - m_2|). \quad (51)$$

With normalization $m_2 = 1$, it is given by

$$E_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } m_1 < 1/2, m_1 > 2 \\ \frac{1}{3}(2m_1 - 1) & \text{for } 1/2 < m_1 < 1 \\ \frac{2-m_1}{3} & \text{for } 1 < m_1 < 2 \end{cases}. \quad (52)$$

One can see that the vacuum energy becomes positive for $1/2 < \frac{m_1}{m_2} < 2$. The term Z vanishes in this case and so the vacuum energy being nonnegative is consistent with the bound (43). This bound for the vacuum state is not saturated when the vacuum energy is positive. However there is a nontrivial angular momentum part in the superalgebra as one can see from Eq. (41). We note that such transition of vacuum energy occurs when either fermion or boson mass vanish. As one crosses such boundary in the parameter space, some creation operator becomes annihilation operator and vice versa. The bosonic part of the angular momentum can be written as $L \sim a^\dagger b - ab^\dagger$, with a, b being annihilation operator along each spatial direction, and so its expectation value for the ground state always vanishes. The fermionic part of the angular momentum may develop nonzero expectation value due to the level cross. In the parameter region where the vacuum energy is positive the ground state of the case under discussion cannot be singlet under supersymmetric transformation as $Z = 0$ and so the supersymmetry is spontaneously broken. Another interesting point is where $m_1 + m_2 = 0$ in which case the dynamical supersymmetry becomes time independent and the vacuum energy vanishes.

Let look at bit more complicated case of W_I with nonzero $m_1 m_2 m_3 \neq 0$, $m_4 = 0$. In this case there is only 20 supersymmetries, no more or less. The projection operator is $P = (1 - \gamma_{1234} - \gamma_{1256} - \gamma_{3456})/4$ and the central term is nonvanishing with

$$Z = \frac{1}{6}(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)L_{78}. \quad (53)$$

The vacuum energy of the free theory is more complicated. The three parameter spaces is divided into many subregions. In some region, it is zero, in some region it is positive, in some region it is negative. When $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m$, the bosonic masses are six 0's and two $m/2$'s, one m with $E_b = m$. The fermionic masses are six m 's and two $3m$'s with $E_f = -\frac{3m}{2}$. Thus the total vacuum energy is negative $E_0 = -\frac{m}{2}$.

For the four parameter case W_I with $m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 \neq 0$, there are 18 supersymmetries. The parameter space gets splitted into many regions where the vacuum energy can be zero, positive or negative. One special subset is with $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 = 0$, in which case the dynamical supersymmetry is time-independent. In this subset, the vacuum energy can be positive or zero, but never negative.

The seven parameter case is more complicated. We just note that the case with 26 supersymmetric with W is given in Eq. (26), the bosonic masses are seven μ 's and two $\mu/2$'s with $E_b = 4\mu$ and the fermionic masses are five $3\mu/4$'s and three $5\mu/4$'s with $E_f = -15\mu/2$ and so the total vacuum energy is positive $E_0 = \mu/2$. The Z in the bound (43) is $Z = 10L_{89}$.

In this work we have explored some basic features of the less supersymmetric matrix model on pp-wave background. We found the superalgebra, the classical vacuum equation, the quantum vacuum energy at the symmetric phase. The most interesting part is that the vacuum structure can be rather rich, leading to the spontaneously broken supersymmetry. Notice that all this structure is there even for free abelian theory. Thus its cause should be purely algebraic. The detail of the vacuum structure of the less supersymmetric Yang-Mills matrix mechanics is currently under study.

We have seen that the number of dynamical supersymmetries increases by even integer. It may be due to our special ansatz for A and W tensor. It would be interesting to find the analysis for the general case.

As argued in Ref. [6, 7], the pp-wave background for our model can arise from the Penrose limit of intersecting branes maybe with additional background antisymmetric tensor field. It would be interesting to figure out the implication of our work on the pp-wave itself in M-theory.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported in part by KOSEF 1998 interdisciplinary research grant 98-07-02-07-01-5 (K.L.). I am grateful to D. Bak, J.-H. Park and P. Yi for many useful comments and discussions.

References

- [1] D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena and H. Nastase, "Strings in flat space and pp-waves from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills", JHEP **0204** (2002) 013, hep-th/0202021.
- [2] K. Dasgupta, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari and M. van Raamsdonk, "Matrix perturbation theory for M-theory on a pp-wave", JHEP **0205** (2002) 056, hep-th/0205185.
- [3] J. Kowalski-Glikman, "Vacuum states in supersymmetric Kaluza-Klein theory," Phys. Lett. B134 (1984) 194.
- [4] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, "Homogeneous fluxes, branes and a maximally supersymmetric solution of M-theory," JHEP **0108** (2001) 036, hep-th/0105308; M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, "Penrose limits and maximal supersymmetry," Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) L87, hep-th/0201081.
- [5] N. Kim and J. Plefka, "On the spectrum of pp-wave matrix theory", hep-th/0207034; K. Dasgupta, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and M. Van Raamsdonk, "Protected multiplets of M-theory on a plane wave," hep-th/0207050; N. Kim and J. H. Park, "Superalgebra for M-theory on a pp-wave," hep-th/0207061.
- [6] M. Cvetič, H. Lü and C.N. Pope, "M-theory, pp-waves, Penrose limits, and supernumerary supersymmetries," hep-th/0203229.
- [7] J.P. Gauntlett and C.M. Hull, "PP-waves in 11-dimensions with extra supersymmetry", hep-th/0203255.
- [8] J. Michelson, "A pp-wave with 26 supercharges", hep-th/0206204.
- [9] M. Claudson, M.B. Halpern, "Supersymmetric ground state wavefunctions", Nucl. Phys. B **250** (1985) 689; R. Flume, "On quantum mechanics with extended supersymmetry and non-abelian gauge constraints", Ann. Phys. 164 (1985) 189.
- [10] E. Witten, "String theory dynamics in various dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B **443** (1995) 85, hep-th/9503124.
- [11] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S.H. Shenker and L. Susskind, "M theory as a matrix model: A Conjecture", Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 5112, hep-th/9610043.
- [12] E. Witten, "Bound states Of strings and p-branes," Nucl. Phys. B **460** (1996) 335, hep-th/9510135.

- [13] B. de Wit, J. Hoppe and H. Nicolai, “On the quantum mechanics of supermembranes”, Nucl. Phys. **B 305** [FS23] (1988) 545.
- [14] C.M. Hull, “Exact pp-wave solutions of 11-dimensional supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B 139 (1984) 39.
- [15] N. Kim, K.M. Lee and P. Yi, “Deformed matrix theories with N=8 and fivebranes in the pp wave background,” hep-th/0207264.
- [16] G. Bonelli, “Matrix strings in pp-wave backgrounds from deformed super Yang-Mills theory”, hep-th/0205213;
- [17] J. McGreevy, L. Susskind and N. Toumbas, “Invasion of the giant gravitons from anti-de Sitter space,” JHEP **0006** (2000) 008, hep-th/0003075.
- [18] D. Bak, “Supersymmetric branes in PP wave background”, hep-th/0204033; K. Sugiyama and K. Yoshida, “Supermembrane on the pp-wave background”, hep-th/0206070; “BPS conditions of supermembrane on the pp-wave”, hep-th/0206132; S. J. Hyun and H. J. Shin, “Branes from matrix theory in pp-wave background”, hep-th/0206090; K. Sugiyama and K. Yosida, “ Giant graviton and quantum stability of matrix model on pp-wave background,” hep-th/0207190; A. Mikhailov, “ Nonspherical giant gravitons and matrix theory,” hep-th/0208077; J.H. Park, “ Supersymmetric objects in the M-theory on app-wave,” hep-th/0208161.
- [19] R. Myers, “Dielectric-branes,” HEP **12** (1999) 022, hep-th/9910053.